Human Embryo Culture with Mineral Oils: A comparative Analysis of Oily Embryo Culture Incubation

Noureddine Louanjli¹, Aya Al-Ibraheemi², Mohammed Zarqaoui³, Mohamed Ennaji⁴, Wassym R Senhaji⁵, Ritu S Santwani⁶, Romaissa Boutiche⁷, Mustafa Zakaria^{8*}

¹Department of Clinical Biology, Strasbourg University Medicine, Strasbourg, Alsace-Champagne-Ardenne, FR, RB Reproductive Biology, Head, LABOMAC Laboratory of Clinical Analysis and Assisted Reproductive Technology, IR/FIV Fertility Center, AFC Fertility Center, Executive Vice President, ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group (AISRG), Casablanca, Morocco

²Embryologist, University of Nottingham, UK, Researcher, Scientific Research Group and Member, ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group (AISRG) United Kingdom

³Strasbourg University Medicine Pole, Endoscopic Surgery Obstetrics and Gynecology Strasbourg, Alsace-Champagne-Ardenne, FR, MD. Specialist, Gynaecologist Obstetrician, General Medical Coordinator, IRIFIV Fertility Center, Head, ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group (AISRG) Casablanca, Morocco

⁴Senior Clinical Embryologist, IRIFIV Fertility Center, Member, Scientific Research Group, Researcher, Scientific Research Group, Casablanca, Morocco

⁵Gynecologist and Obstetrician, Endoscopic Surgeon and Fertility Expert, Researcher, ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group Associated Practitioner, IRIFIV Fertility Center, Casablanca, Morocco

⁶Gynaecologist and Obstetrician, ART-Singapore, Honorary Professor, India, Member, Scientific Research Group, Researcher, Scientific Research Group, Casablanca, Morocco

⁷University of Science and Technology Houari Boumediene, MA, Molecular Pathology and Biotechnology, Clinical Embryologist, IVF Laboratory in Rotaby Fertility Center, Algeria, Researcher, Scientific Research Group, Member, ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group (AISRG) Algeria ⁸Faculty of Medicine, Northwestern University, MD, Reproductive Biology, Assisted Reproductive Technology, Consultant, IRIFIV Fertility Center,

Administrative Deputy, Writer, ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group (AISRG), Casablanca, Morocco

Received date: 25 January 2021; Accepted date: 07 February 2021; published date: 14 February 2021

ABSTRACT

The oil overlay in microdrop culture systems prevents medium evaporation, helps to maintain appropriate pH and osmotic conditions and protects from microbial contamination. In the present study, we prospectively compared covering by Ovoil [™], a paraffin oil, and LiteOil, a mineral oil, on the in vitro development of human embryos and their suitability for transfer/freezing at day 3 and live birth rate. One hundred and one patients undergoing In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) treatment by Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection (ICSI) were enrolled in our study. After ICSI, 1237 oocytes were 1:1 randomly allocated into 2 groups according to the type of overlaying oil: Ovoil[™] (616 oocytes) or LiteOil (621 oocytes). Fertilization rate was assessed around 18 hours post-insemination (hpi) and embryos were checked for early cleavage at 25 hpi. Embryo morphology was recorded on days 2 and 3. A total of 437 and 438 day 3 embryos were analyzed. There were no differences between the two groups in terms of fertilization rate and occurrence of early cleavage. The proportion of top-quality embryos (41.7% vs. 41.2%) and the final utilization rates (92.2% vs. 92.0%) were similar in Ovoil and LiteOil groups, respectively, at day 3. Live birth rate per transfer was essentially the same with Ovoil[™] overlay (26.9%) when compared to LiteOil (26.2%). Live birth rate in patients who simultaneously received embryos from both overlay types was 17.2%. Despite the different characteristics of these two oils regarding hydrocarbon saturation, packing and temperature storage, Ovoil and LiteOil can be used in parallel in the same IVF protocol.

Keywords: Mineral oil, Human embryo culture, Embryo quality, Utilization rate.

Abbreviations: ICSI: Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injection; ART: Assisted Reproductive Technologies; HPI: Hours Post-Insemination; HSA: Human Serum Albumin; HTF: Human Tubal Fluid; IVF: In Vitro Fertilization; MII: Metaphase II; MEA: Mouse Embryo Assay; RT: Room Temperature.

Corresponding Author:

Mustafa Zakaria, Consultant, IRIFIV Fertility Center, Administrative Deputy and Writer for the ART IRIFIV Scientific Research Group (AISRG), Casablanca, Morocco; E-mail: dr.mustafazakaria@gmail.com

DOI: 10.4103/2278-960X.1945148

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share A like 3.0 License,which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially,as long as the au-thor is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms. For reprints contact: editor@jbcrs.org

Copyright: © 2021 Zakaria M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Mineral oil

The culture system is a critical step in Assisted Reproductive Technologies (ART), influencing embryonic development and the success of In Vitro Fertilization (IVF) programs. Mineral and paraffin oils are commonly used to overlay IVF media in order to maintain their stability throughout the embryonic culture. Overlay slows down evaporation, temperature and pH variations. Indeed, without any oil overlay, the medium osmolarity would quickly become too high and deleterious for embryo development (Wale and Gardner 2016). In addition, it plays a barrier role against contaminations by toxic compounds or microbes [1]. Several studies focused on a possible negative impact of overlaying oil on embryo development and pregnancy outcome. In fact, toxic elements present in crude oil such as unsaturated/aromatics hydrocarbons, short volatile carbon chains, peroxides and zinc and other undefined compounds could contaminate the oil used in IVF laboratories. The polycarbon lipid tail in mineral oil contains more unsaturated bonds than paraffin oil (Vitrolife, web site), the mineral product being therefore more sensitive to photo-oxidation and peroxidation [2]. High peroxidation in mineral culture overlay is reported to be detrimental to fertilization and embryo development because of toxic contamination and/or deterioration of oil quality. Because oil represents a component of embryo culture systems with the most potential variation in quality, a sensitive Mouse Embryo Assay (MEA) was recently developed to test mineral oil peroxide toxicity. This test consists of extended culture to 144 hours rather than 96 hours as currently performed in standard MEA. Some authors confirmed the oil embryo-toxicity in mouse, pig and human, is mostly caused by peroxide contamination which depends on heat, UV light exposure, extended storage, manufacture and batch number. High levels of peroxide affect fertilization, early cleavage and blastocyst growth. The ultimate goal of all IVF laboratories is to optimize their culture system, in order to preserve embryonic viability and to lead to healthy liveborns. Despite the importance of an oil overlay in those systems, very few publications address this aspect and to our best knowledge, the Sifer study is the only one describing interested in human embryo development under several types of commercial oil [3]. Therefore, the aim of our study was to compare IVF and embryo utilization rates after culture under mineral oil (Ovoil™, Vitrolife) and mineral oil (LiteOil, LifeGlobal).

RESULTS

Fertilization and embryo quality

The present study included 1237 MII oocytes derived from 101 ICSI cycles (Table 1). They were cultured in Global medium overlaid either by Ovoil [™] (616 oocytes, group 1) or by LiteOil® (621 oocytes, group 2) (Figure 1).

Table 1: Baseline group characteristics.

No. of patients/cycles	101
Women's age	33.0 ± 6.0
Cycle rank	2.0 ± 1.5
Oocytes per pickup	15.4 ± 7.5
MII oocytes per pickup	12.26



Figure 1: MII: Metaphase II oocytes; TF: Transfer.

Fertilization and embryo development were investigated from day 1 to day 3 (Table 2). The percentage of normally fertilized oocytes (2PN) and early cleavage at 25 hpi were not significantly different: 75.5% versus 72.1% and 37.2% versus 40.1% with OvoilTM and LiteOil[®], respectively. Embryo development did not differ between group 1 and group 2 when compared at day 2 or day 3: respectively 65.2% versus $64.7\% \ge 4$ -cell stage (mean cell number: 4.2 vs. 4.1) and 70.9% versus $70.5\% \ge 6$ -cell stage (mean cell number: 8.3 vs. 8.5). Moreover, the two groups did not differ regarding the morphological quality at day 3 and utilization of the embryos.

In term of frozen, transferred and discarded embryos, the rates were not significantly different in both groups. In fact, percentages of frozen and transferred embryos were 76.7% versus 71.9% and 15.6% versus 20.1%, respectively, in Ovoil versus LiteOil groups [4]. Classification and scoring of transferred or frozen embryos in the two analyzed groups showed the same percentage of A- (41.7% group 1 vs. 41.2% group 2), B- (30.8% group 1 vs. 30.8% group 2) and C-quality (27.5% group 1 vs. 28.0% group 2).

 Table 2: Embryo outcomes per group.

	Group 1 (Ovoil)	Group 2 (LiteOil)			
Day 1					
2PN oocytes (% of matures oocytes)	465/616 (75.5%)	448/621 (72.1%)			
Early cleavage rate (% observed at 25 hpi)	146/393 (37.2%)*	162/404 (40.1%)*			
Day 2					
2-4 cell embryos (% observed at day 2)	259/397 (65.2%)*	264/408 (64.7%)*			
Cell number	4.2 ± 1.3	4.1 ± 1.2			
Day 3					
2-6 cell embryos	437/616 (70.9%)	438/621 (70.5%)			
Cell number	8.3 ± 2.9	8.5 ± 3.4			
Fresh transferred embryo (% 2-6 cell embryos)	68/437 (15.6%)	88/438 (71.9%)			
Frozen embryos (% 2-6 cell embryos)	335/437 (76.7%)	315/438 (71.9%)			
Discarded embryos (% 2-6 cell embryos)	34/437 (7.8%)	35/438 (8.0%)			
Useful embryos (% 2-6 cell embryos)	403/437 (92.2%)	403/438 (92.0%)			
Score A	168/403 (30.8%)	166/403 (41.2%)			
Score B	124/403 (30.8%)	124/403 (30.8%)			
Score C	111/403 (27.5%)	113/403 (28.0%)			
*Denominates are different from initial mature occutes number in the Ovoil and LiteOil groups (616 and 621					

*Denominates are different from initial mature oocytes number in the Ovoil and LiteOil groups (olio and 621, respectively). For 27 patients, some developmental data were missing 9 early cleavage or day 2 developmental stage) because embryo observation was not performed on Saturday PM, Sunday or holidays.

Pregnancy rate

Overall pregnancy and live birth rates per transfer did not significantly differ between Ovoil and LiteOil groups (38.5% vs. 33.3% and 26.9% vs. 26.2%). The mixed group, which involved significantly older patients ($p \le 0.001$), ended with lower birth rate (17.2%) when compared to the two other groups (Table 3).

Table 5. Emplyo transfers and pregnancy outcome	ryo transfers and pregnancy outcome
---	-------------------------------------

Groups	Group 1 (Ovoil)	Group 1 (LiteOil)	Mixed group		
No. of transfer	26	42	29		
Embryos per transfer	1.3 ± 0.5	1.2 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.6		
Women's age	30.9 ± 4.9	31.0 ± 4.4	$38.1 \pm 4.4*$		
Cycle rank	1.8 ± 1.6	1.7 ± 1.2	2.8 ± 1.5		
Overall pregnancy per fresh transfer	10 (38.5%)	14 (33.3%)	10 (34.5%)		
Live birth rate per fresh transfer	79 (6.9%)	11 (26.2%)	5 (17.2%)		
Miscarriage rate	3 (30.0%)	3 (21.4%)	5 (50.0%)		
*Patients belonging to the mixed group are statistically older than those in Ovoil and LiteOil groups.					

DISCUSSION

Oil is widely used to overlay embryo culture media and can play a major role in IVF outcome. Paraffin and mineral oil are known to have different chemical properties. The polycarbon lipid tail in mineral oil contains more unsaturated bonds than paraffin oil (Vitrolife, web site), the mineral product being therefore more sensitive to photooxidation and peroxidation. High peroxidation in mineral culture overlay is reported to be detrimental to fertilization and embryo development because of toxic contamination and/or deterioration of oil quality [5,6]. The degree of peroxidation depends on heat and UV light exposure, storage conditions, manufacturer and batch number. Some studies recommend to keep oil in a cool dark place to prevent it's deterioration during long-term storage. Despite careful quality control before release to customers, oil overlay can have different effects on embryo development. Indeed, Sifer, et al. previously showed that the mean number of top-quality human embryos at day 3 was statistically higher in their Ovoil group when compared to three other types of oil. Investigation on bovine embryo confirms that oil type can influence embryo growth and utilization: development after 3 days in culture under sterile paraffin and washed light mineral oil is not affected but morula and blastocyst formation rates are significantly higher with paraffin. In the present study including 1237 sibling oocytes, we prospectively compared effects of culture media covering by 100% paraffin oil (Ovoil™) and a mineral oil (LiteOil®) on the in vitro development and utilization rates of day 3 human embryos. The two oils were stored according to respective manufacturer's recommendations, in glass bottle at 4°C for the paraffin oil, and in dark plastic bottle at Room Temperature (RT) for the mineral oil. Our data show that Ovoil™ and LiteOil® overlays result in similar embryo development and delivery rates. Oil plays an essential role in embryo culture and it is rather reassuring to have alternative options in the IVF laboratory. Our work can therefore help other IVF teams in the development of their protocols. Our results are consistent with Sifer, et al. who obtained the same pregnancy rates with the four oils enrolled in their comparison. However, our study is more robust as we used sibling oocytes in order to strengthen the conclusion. We must concede that embryos allocated to the fresh transfer were more readily chosen among the LiteOil cohort (42 patients) than in the Ovoil group (26 patients). This

seems to suggest a better developmental pattern for the embryos in the LiteOil system. This trend is not confirmed at the level of embryonic viability since we obtained the same pregnancy rate with both oils. It is worthy to note a higher miscarriage rate in patients who received a mix of embryos from the two culture systems (29 patients) [7-9]. It is probably due to their higher age and cycle rank. Thus, we confirm the safety of these oils on a large cohort of human embryo until day 3 of development. Such a study could be helpful for other IVF laboratories to choose the appropriate oil to use. Studies comparing oils from different companies in IVF are rather scare, especially sibling oocytes/embryos protocols as described in the present paper. In 2009, Sifer, et al. (2009) compared four different oil covering systems in different groups of patients rather than with a sibling oocyte protocol. Similar to our findings, reported day 2 embryonic morphologies were not different between their groups but, contrary to our data, they obtained more day 3 top embryos with Ovoil[™] (Vitrolife) than with the three other systems (one being a mineral product from CryoBioSystem). They demonstrated that embryo quality could be affected by commercial oils used to overlay culture media. It's worthy to note that patients' age range (22-44) and lack of peroxide level measurement as performed by Otsuki, et al. could represent some limitations of our study [10]. In conclusion, despite the different characteristics of the two tested oils regarding hydrocarbon saturation, packing and temperature storage, we showed that embryo guality and utilization rate were not impacted until day 3. Finally, oil should be used with caution by respecting storage recommendation of manufacturer and preventing long-term conservation even for unopened samples. More studies are required to confirm oil safety in IVF.

Media and culture dishes

We used sequential media from LifeGlobal (USA): Human Tubal Fluid (HTF) for oocyte culture and Global medium after ICSI and for further cleavage till day 3 (LGGG). Both were supplemented with human Serum Albumin (hSA, 9988, Irvine Scientific, USA), respectively, 10% and 7.5%. Culture dishes (60 mm easy grip, BDAA3004, Falcon) were prepared on the day before use and left in a 6% CO2/5% O2 incubator at 37°C in order to equilibrate pH and temperature. Two kinds of dishes were prepared for each patient: (1) Group 1:20 μ l droplets overlaid with 6 ml OvoilTM (10029, Vitrolife, Sweden) previously stored in transparent glass bottles at 4°C. (2) Group 2:20 μ l droplets overlaid with 6 ml LiteOil[®] (AMLO, LifeGlobal, USA) previously stored in dark plastic bottles at RT. The dishes were loaded with a maximum of 10 drops of media and each drop contained only one oocyte/embryo.

Gamete preparation

After pick up, cumulus oocyte complexes were incubated in HTF medium. Oocyte denudation was performed from 1 to 2 hours after oocyte retrieval by quick exposure to a ready-to-use solution of hyaluronidase (LGHY-010, LifeGlobal, USA) and gentle mechanical dispersion through 170 and 140 μ m pipettes (K-FPIP-1140 and K-FPIP-1170, Cook Medical, USA) [11,12]. Mature metaphase II oocytes (MII, n=1237) were identified under stereo-microscope by the presence of the first polar body and enrolled in our study. Immature or dysmorphic oocytes were

discarded. Sperm was prepared by centrifugation (300 g) on a 100%/70%/40% density gradient for up to 20 min (Isolate, 99306, Irvine Scientific, USA) and washed twice in sperm washing medium (AllGrad Wash, Life Global, USA) at 500 g during 5 min. The final preparation was kept at RT until ICSI. Poorest samples were treated by simple washing.

Oocyte random distribution

A 1:1 randomization of oocytes was achieved after ICSI. Sibling oocytes from each patient were randomly allocated for culture in 20 μ l drops of Global® medium in dishes overlayed by either OvoilTM (group 1, n=616) or LiteOil® (group 2, n=621) (Figure 1).

Fertilization and embryo outcome

Assessment of fertilization (presence of 2 pronuclei, 2PN) was performed at 18 hpi followed by the early cleavage stage evaluation at 25 hpi. Oocytes showing more than 2PN were discarded. Day 2 and day 3 embryos were assessed using our routine examination protocol based on Istanbul consensus criteria [13-15] considering blastomere number and symmetry, percentage of fragmentation and cytoplasmic appearance. Embryonic defects were defined by a \geq 10% fragmentation or irregular blastomeres varying in size by a factor >2 or the presence of cyctoplasmic anomalies, as granulation, vacuoles or dark coloration. Briefly, morphological quality scores were attributed to each embryo as follows: Score A: \leq 1 defect, Score B: 2 defects, Score C: 3 defects. Day 2 and day 3 embryos showing >30% fragmentation were included in the study as discarded. At day 3, stages under 6 cells were considered as developmental arrest and were also included in the study as discarded. Freshly transferred embryos were chosen according to several criteria: 1) Normal fertilization (2PN). 2) Early cleavage at 25 hpi. 3) Ongoing development between Days 2 and 3. 4) Day 3 morphology. Transfer was performed at day 3 with an embryo transfer catheter (K-JETS-7019, Cook, USA) in Global medium. Supernumerary embryos were cryopreserved by vitrification using Irvine freeze kit (90133-SO, IrvineScientific, USA) [16-18]. For 27 patients, some developmental data were missing (early cleavage or day 2 developmental stages) because the oocyte pickup took place on a Friday or a day before holiday.

Embryo transfer

Fresh embryo transfer was performed on day 3 in 97 cycles, freeze-all being necessary for 4 cycles because of ovarian hyperstimualtion syndrome [19,20]. Three groups of transfers can be distinguished according to the type of oil overlay: • The Ovoil group, including 26 patients who received embryos derived only from Ovoil overlay. • The LiteOil group, including 42 patients who received embryos derived only from LiteOil overlay. • The mixed group, including 29 patients simultaneously transferred with embryos coming from both Ovoil and LiteOil overlays.

Clinical pregnancy

Human Chorionic Gonadotropin serum level \geq 100 IU/l 14 days after embryo transfer was considered as a positive pregnancy test.

CONCLUSIONS

Despite careful quality control before release to customers, oil overlay can have different effects on embryo development. Indeed, Sifer et al. previously showed that the mean number of top-quality human embryos at day 3 was statistically higher in their Ovoil group when compared to three other types of oil. Investigation on bovine embryo confirms that oil type can influence embryo growth and utilization: development after 3 days in culture under sterile paraffin and washed light mineral oil is not affected but morula and blastocyst formation rates are significantly higher with paraffin. In the present study including 1237 sibling oocytes, we prospectively compared effects of culture media covering by 100% paraffin oil (Ovoil™) and a mineral oil (LiteOil®) on the in vitro development and utilization rates of day 3 human embryos. The two oils were stored according to respective manufacturer's recommendations, in glass bottle at 4°C for the paraffin oil, and in dark plastic bottle at Room Temperature (RT) for the mineral oil.

References

- 1. Ainsworth AJ, Fredrickson JR, Morbeck DE. Improved detection of mineral oil toxicity using an extended mouse embryo assay. J Assist Reprod Genet.2017; (34):391–397.
- 2. Balaban B, Brison D, Calderón G, et al . Istanbul consensus workshop on embryo assessment: proceedings of an expert meeting. Reprod Biomed Online. 2011; 22(6):632-646.
- 3. Zhu YT, Luo C, Li Y, et al. Differences and Similarities between extremely severe oligozoospermia and cryptozoospermia in intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Asian J Androl, 2016;18(6):904-907.
- 4. Behr B, Wang H. Effects of culture conditions on IVF outcome. J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.2004; 115:72–76.
- 5. Elder K, Bergh MVD, Woodward B. Troubleshooting and problem-solving in the IVF laboratory. Cambridge.
- 6. Erbach GT, Bhatnagar P, Baltz JM, et al. Zinc is a possible toxic contaminant of silicone oil in microdrop cultures of preimplantation mouse embryos. Hum Reprod. 1995; 10(12):3248–3254.
- Gardner DK, Reed L, Linck D, et al. Quality control in human in vitro fertilization. Semin Reprod Med. 2005; 23(4):319-324.
- 8. Lane M, Gardner DK. Embryo culture medium: which is the best? Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2007; 21(1):83-100.
- 9. Lédée N, Gridelet V, Ravet S, et al. Impact of follicular G-CSF quantification on subsequent embryo transfer decisions: A proof of concept study. Hum Reprod. 2013; 28(2):406-413.
- 10. Miller KF, Goldberh JM, Collins RL. Covering embryo cultures with mineral oil alters embryo growth by acting as a sink for an embryotoxic substance. J Assist Reprod Genet. 1994; 11:9-12.

- 11. Morbeck DE, Leonard PH. Culture systems: mineral oil overlay. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;912:325-331.
- 12. Otsuki J, Nagai Y, Chiba K. Peroxidation of mineral oil used in droplet culture is detrimental to fertilization and embryo development. Fertil Steril. 2007; 88(3):741-743.
- 13. Otsuki J, Nagai Y, Chiba K. Damage of embryo development caused by peroxidized mineral oil and its association with albumin in culture. Fertil Steril. 2009; 91(5):1745-1749.
- 14. Sfontouris IA, Kolibianakis EM, Lainas GT, et al. Blastocyst utilization rates after continuous culture in two commercial single-step media: a prospective randomized study with sibling oocytes. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2017; 34:1377-1383.
- 15. Shimada M, Kawano N, Terada T. Delay of nuclear maturation and reduction in developmental competence of pig oocytes after mineral oil overlay of in vitro maturation media. Reproduction. 2002; 124(4):557–564.
- Sifer C, Pont JC, Porcher R, et al. A prospective randomized study to compare four different mineral oils used to culture human embryos in IVF/ICSI treatments. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2007; 147(1):52–56.

- 17. Smith GD, Takayama S, Swain JE.Rethinking in vitro embryo culture: new developments in culture platforms and potential to improve assisted reproductive technologies. Biol Reprod. 2012; 86(3):1–10.
- 18. Tae JC, Kim EY, Lee WD,et al. Sterile filtered paraffin oil supports in vitro developmental competence in bovine embryos comparable to co-culture. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2006; 23(3):121-127.
- 19. https://www.vitrolife.com/en/products/ivf-media--oil/ ovoil---culture-oil/.
- 20. Wale PL, Gardner DK. The effects of chemical and physical factors on mammalian embryo culture and their importance for the practice of assisted human reproduction. Hum Reprod Update. 2016; 22(1):2–22.